AJEMATES
African Journal of Educational Management, Teaching and Entrepreneurship Studies
VOL.15 (1), May-August, 2025 https://ajemates.org

DIGITAL MISINFORMATION AND LOCAL GOVERNANCE: ASSESSING THE IMPACT OF FAKE NEWS ON RURAL COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT IN NIGERIA

By
Dr. Nwodo, Sylvester Nnaemeka [1]
Dept of Sociology and Anthropology, Faculty of Social Sciences
Enugu State University of Science and Technology.

Eugene Ejiofor Nwodo [2]
Dept of Public Administration, Faculty of Business Studies
Institute of Management and Technology (IMT), Enugu. Nigeria.

Dr Umoh, Boniface [3]
Institute for Development Studies,
University of Nigeria, Enugu Campus,

Abstract

Digital misinformation—commonly labeled as "fake news"—has become a significant threat to governance, particularly in developing democracies where digital literacy is low and traditional media oversight is weak. In Nigeria, the rapid diffusion of mobile technologies and social media platforms into rural communities has created a new communication ecosystem. While these technologies offer opportunities for democratic participation, they also enable the spread of fabricated narratives that undermine trust in local governance and obstruct community development efforts. This study investigates the intersection of digital misinformation and local governance in rural Nigeria, examining how fake news shapes community perceptions, disrupts policy implementation, and exacerbates social conflict. Drawing on secondary data, case studies, and peer-reviewed scholarship, the paper highlights three core findings: (1) misinformation disproportionately affects rural communities due to lower levels of media literacy and higher reliance on informal communication networks; (2) fake news erodes trust in local governance institutions, weakening social contracts necessary for development; and (3) misinformation contributes to political instability, agricultural disruptions, and health crises in rural areas. The article concludes with recommendations for policy frameworks, community-based media literacy initiatives, and collaborations between government and civil society organizations to mitigate misinformation's adverse effects.

Keywords: digital misinformation, fake news, rural governance, Nigeria, community development

AJEMATES
African Journal of Educational Management, Teaching and Entrepreneurship Studies
VOL.15 (1), May-August, 2025 https://ajemates.org

Introduction

Background of the Study

The digital revolution has fundamentally transformed the way societies consume information, communicate, and engage with governance. In Nigeria, the proliferation of affordable smartphones, cheap data plans, and the penetration of social media platforms such as Facebook, WhatsApp, and X (formerly Twitter) has accelerated access to information even in rural communities (Okunade, 2024). While this development provides new opportunities for participation in governance and development planning, it also introduces the persistent challenge of misinformation.

Digital misinformation—defined as false or misleading information created and shared without sufficient verification—has become a pressing global concern (Wardle & Derakhshan, 2017). In contexts where literacy levels are low, institutional trust is fragile, and local governance structures are often politicized, fake news carries amplified consequences. Rural Nigeria exemplifies this challenge. Reports suggest that misinformation in rural areas not only misguides public opinion but also obstructs rural development projects, weakens public health campaigns, and fuels political and ethnic conflicts (Adebisi et al., 2021).

Digital communication technologies and social media have transformed information dissemination in Nigeria. While this has opened possibilities for citizen participation, transparency, and local-level governance reform, it also allows misinformation, disinformation, and fake news to spread rapidly. In rural Nigeria, where infrastructure (electricity, internet access, media outlets) and formal education levels are often lower, communities may be especially susceptible to false or misleading content. These false narratives can influence perceptions, erode trust in public institutions, misguide development planning and priorities, and disrupt local governance.

Problem Statement

Despite the recognition of misinformation as a critical problem, empirical investigations into its localized effects on rural community development in Nigeria remain limited. Most research has concentrated on national elections and urban contexts, leaving a gap in understanding how rural communities—where governance is often most fragile—are affected (Oyesomi, Salawu, & Okorie, 2020). This gap is significant because rural Nigeria accounts for more than 50% of the population (National Bureau of Statistics [NBS], 2022). Without strong rural governance and development, Nigeria's broader democratic and economic growth is endangered.

Objectives of the Study

The primary objective of this research is to assess the impact of digital misinformation on local governance and rural community development in Nigeria. Specifically, the study seeks to:

1. Examine the extent of digital misinformation penetration in rural Nigerian communities.
2. Analyze how misinformation affects trust in local governance institutions.

AJEMATES
African Journal of Educational Management, Teaching and Entrepreneurship Studies
VOL.15 (1), May-August, 2025 https://ajemates.org

3. Investigate the consequences of misinformation on rural development sectors, including agriculture, health, and education.
4. Propose strategies for countering digital misinformation in rural governance systems.

Research Questions

To achieve the objectives, the following research questions guide the study:

1. What forms of digital misinformation are most prevalent in rural Nigerian communities?
2. How does misinformation influence trust in local governance?
3. In what ways does misinformation obstruct rural community development efforts?
4. What mechanisms can be adopted to mitigate the adverse effects of digital misinformation?

Significance of the Study

This study contributes to academic and policy discourses on governance and misinformation by situating its analysis in rural Nigeria, a context where developmental challenges are acute, and governance structures are vulnerable. Theoretically, it bridges the gap between digital communication studies and rural development scholarship. Practically, the findings will guide policymakers, local governments, and civil society organizations in designing evidence-based strategies to strengthen resilience against misinformation. Ultimately, the study advances the conversation on building sustainable governance systems in Nigeria's rural communities.

Literature Review

Conceptualizing Digital Misinformation and Fake News

Misinformation is not simply about the spread of "false" information—it is about how information systems, social structures, and trust networks operate. Wardle and Derakhshan (2017) distinguish between *misinformation* (false but not intended to harm), *disinformation* (deliberately deceptive), and *mal-information* (true but shared with intent to harm). In rural Nigeria, these categories are blurred because information is filtered through oral traditions and localized authority structures (Ibrahim *et al.*, 2025).

Nigerian scholars have argued that fake news thrives because of historical distrust in the state. Oyesomi, Salawu, and Okorie, (2020) note that colonial and postcolonial governance left legacies of suspicion between citizens and government, a mistrust that digital misinformation exploits. For example, narratives about vaccines being "Western population control tools" draw on colonial memories of exploitation, making them resonate more in rural communities.

Globally, researchers point out that misinformation is not only a technological problem but also a socio-political one (Guess et al., 2020). Thus, tackling it requires not only fact-checking but rebuilding trust between citizens and institutions—a challenge especially urgent in rural Nigeria.

The Rise of Digital Media in Nigeria's Rural Communities

The diffusion of digital technologies into rural Nigeria has been transformative. According to the NCC (2023), rural internet

AJEMATES
African Journal of Educational Management, Teaching and Entrepreneurship Studies
VOL.15 (1), May-August, 2025 https://ajemates.org

penetration has expanded due to low-cost smartphones, community-based telecom towers, and cheaper broadband services. While urban areas adopt platforms such as Instagram and TikTok, rural areas are dominated by WhatsApp, Facebook, and local radio stations that increasingly recycle unverified content from social media. Nigerian Communications Commission press statements reporting rural internet access figures show that only about 23% of rural communities have internet access, compared with about 57% in urban areas, emphasizing the digital divide in Nigeria's rural spaces. Sam Diala (2023) (Onanuga & Ayeni, 2020).

In many rural areas, information circulates in hybrid ecosystems—traditional oral communication reinforced by digital platforms. A WhatsApp rumor is often validated in church meetings, market gatherings, or by local chiefs, amplifying its perceived truth (Chidozie, 2025). This convergence of digital and traditional communication makes rural misinformation particularly resilient.

Comparatively, in rural Kenya and Uganda, similar trends are observed: WhatsApp has replaced town criers as the main vehicle for local information exchange (Mare, 2021). In India, WhatsApp groups have become extensions of village councils, but with less accountability (Banaji & Bhat, 2019). These parallels highlight the universality of digital misinformation in rural contexts while emphasizing Nigeria's unique vulnerability due to its history of weak governance and ethnic diversity.

Digital Misinformation and Governance

Governance thrives on accurate information and citizen participation. Misinformation corrodes both by spreading distrust, confusion, and polarization.

In Nigeria, three governance dimensions are particularly affected:

1. **Legitimacy of institutions** – Misinformation portraying local governments as corrupt undermines their authority. For example, in Oyo State, viral posts alleging mismanagement of local government funds weakened community support for infrastructural projects (Madrid-Morales & Wasserman, 2020).
2. **Policy compliance** – Government directives on agriculture, taxation, or health are often resisted due to misinformation. Farmers in Kaduna State rejected improved maize seeds after WhatsApp rumors claimed they were "sterile seeds imported to enslave farmers" (Oladeji, 2021).
3. **Conflict management** – In Plateau State, false social media reports of herder attacks spread through WhatsApp, escalating into violent clashes before local authorities could intervene (International Crisis Group, 2020).

This mirrors patterns observed in Myanmar, where Facebook misinformation inflamed ethnic violence against the Rohingya (Wardle & Derakhshan, 2017), and in Sri Lanka, where WhatsApp rumors triggered anti-Muslim riots (Amarasingam and Fuller, 2019). Thus, Nigeria is part of a broader global crisis where digital misinformation destabilizes fragile governance.

AJEMATES
African Journal of Educational Management, Teaching and Entrepreneurship Studies
VOL.15 (1), May-August, 2025 https://ajemates.org

Rural Development in Nigeria: Challenges and Opportunities

Rural development is not merely an economic process but a socio-political contract between government and communities. Nigeria's rural development has long been constrained by poverty, infrastructural deficits, and weak governance (Omoera and Uwalaka, 2023). Government interventions—such as NAPEP, NEEDS, and the Agricultural Transformation Agenda—were designed to improve rural livelihoods. However, many failed due to corruption, poor monitoring, and lack of local buy-in (Uwalaka *et al., 2021*). Misinformation adds a *new governance bottleneck*. If communities believe (via rumors) that funds for rural electrification are stolen, or that new health centers are fronts for corruption, their willingness to cooperate with government initiatives diminishes.

Globally, misinformation similarly hampers development. In rural India, misinformation about sanitation programs discouraged community participation in hygiene projects (Banaji & Bhat, 2019). In rural Latin America, rumors about mining companies and local governments often derail infrastructure projects (Abdullahi *et al*, 2025). These parallels show that misinformation undermines rural development everywhere, but in Nigeria, the interplay of ethnic divisions and low literacy magnifies the challenge.

Sectoral Impacts of Misinformation in Nigerian Rural Contexts

Health

Health misinformation is one of the most visible and damaging forms. During the Ebola outbreak, rumors about salt water baths led to hospitalizations (Oleribe et al., 2019). During COVID-19, misinformation framed vaccines as "Western population control tools" (Adebisi et al., 2021). In rural Kano, WhatsApp audio messages discouraged mothers from vaccinating children, reviving polio fears.

This reflects global parallels: in the U.S., rural populations targeted with anti-vaccine campaigns were more hesitant (World Health Organization, 2020). In Tanzania, misinformation about herbal "COVID cures" spread widely, weakening trust in scientific health interventions (Okereke *et al.*, 2020).

Agriculture

Nigeria's rural economy is largely agrarian. Yet misinformation undermines modernization. Farmers in Benue and Niger States rejected fertilizers distributed under government programs because of WhatsApp claims that they "burn crops" (Oladeji, 2021). Similarly, misinformation about genetically modified crops as "sterility agents" discouraged adoption of improved seed varieties.

In Ghana, similar rumors undermined acceptance of new agricultural technologies (Okereke *et al.*, 2020). This indicates a broader African challenge where agricultural misinformation threatens food security.

Education

Education has been another target. False advertisements of scholarship schemes and school feeding programs have created frustration when communities realized such programs were fake Madrid-Morales & Wasserman, 2020). In northern

AJEMATES
African Journal of Educational Management, Teaching and Entrepreneurship Studies
VOL.15 (1), May-August, 2025 https://ajemates.org

Nigeria, misinformation about girl-child education—framed as "Western corruption"—continues to discourage school enrollment (Oyesomi *et al.,* 2020). Comparatively, in Pakistan, similar misinformation campaigns framed girls' education as "un-Islamic," leading to violent resistance against schools (Bano, 2019). These global parallels show how cultural narratives reinforce misinformation in education.

Security and Conflict

Rural security is fragile in Nigeria. Fake news often triggers violence before authorities can intervene. In Plateau and Benue states, Facebook and WhatsApp rumors about attacks by Fulani herders escalated ethnic violence (International Crisis Group, 2020).

This mirrors patterns in Ethiopia, where Facebook posts amplified ethnic hate speech, worsening rural conflicts. It also resembles rural India, where WhatsApp rumors about child kidnappers triggered lynchings (Banaji & Bhat, 2019).

Theoretical Perspectives

1. **Agenda-Setting Theory (McCombs & Shaw, 1972):** Rural Nigerian communities often focus more on fake agendas (e.g., "vaccine dangers") than factual ones (e.g., sanitation needs). Fake news thus displaces governance priorities.
2. **Diffusion of Innovations Theory (Rogers, 2003):** Misinformation spreads through trusted interpersonal networks faster than factual information. In Nigeria, kinship ties and religious leaders act as accelerators of false narratives.
3. **Framing Theory (Goffman, 1974):** How issues are presented influences perceptions. Misinformation often frames government as exploitative or foreign-controlled, reinforcing resistance.
4. **Social Trust Theory (Levi & Stoker, 2000):** Governance depends on trust. In rural Nigeria, where trust in government is historically low, misinformation deepens the gap, undermining collective action.
5. **Information Disorder Framework (Wardle & Derakhshan, 2017):** Helps explain misinformation as part of a broader "information disorder" ecosystem, shaped by economic incentives, political manipulation, and weak verification cultures.
6. **Critical Political Economy of Media (Mosco, 2009):** Suggests misinformation is not random but tied to power struggles. In Nigeria, political elites sometimes sponsor misinformation campaigns to weaken rivals, exploiting rural vulnerabilities.

Comparative Insights from Global and Local Contexts

Globally, misinformation has destabilized governance in both advanced and developing nations. In the U.S., misinformation campaigns fueled polarization around health and elections (Guess et al., 2020). In the Philippines, state-backed fake news campaigns legitimized authoritarian governance (Ong & Cabañes, 2019).

Locally within Nigeria, variations exist:

AJEMATES
African Journal of Educational Management, Teaching and Entrepreneurship Studies
VOL.15 (1), May-August, 2025 https://ajemates.org

- **Southwest:** Political misinformation often targets local government projects, undermining trust in community development councils.
- **Northwest/Northeast:** Health and educational misinformation are prominent, often linked to religious and cultural narratives (Oyesomi et al., 2020).
- **Northcentral:** Security-related misinformation intensifies farmer-herder clashes (International Crisis Group, 2020).
- **Southeast:** Political misinformation fuels separatist narratives, weakening rural governance structures (Chiluwa and Ifukor, 2015).

This shows that misinformation is not uniform, it is context-specific, shaped by cultural, political, and socio-economic realities.

Gaps in the Literature

Despite growing attention, scholarship still overlooks:

- **Longitudinal impacts** of misinformation on rural development—most studies focus on immediate crises (e.g., elections, pandemics).
- **Intersectional impacts**—how misinformation affects women, youth, and marginalized groups differently in rural areas.
- **Comparative rural studies**—Nigeria's case is often lumped into national discussions without analyzing rural–urban disparities.
- **Local resilience strategies**—how traditional leaders, religious authorities, or youth groups counter misinformation is understudied.

Addressing these gaps is critical for both scholarship and policy.

Economic Costs of Misinformation in Rural Areas

Misinformation is not only a social or political problem—it has direct **economic implications** that disproportionately burden rural communities.

Agricultural Losses

Agriculture is the backbone of Nigeria's rural economy, yet misinformation hampers productivity. Oladeji (2021) documents how rumors about genetically modified crops being "poisonous" discouraged farmers from adopting improved varieties, thereby reducing yields. In Benue State, WhatsApp messages claiming government fertilizers were "chemically toxic" caused farmers to abandon subsidy programs, leading to higher input costs and food insecurity.

This parallels experiences in Kenya, where misinformation about drought-resistant maize reduced adoption, undermining food security in arid regions (Mare, 2021). In both cases, misinformation directly translates into lost agricultural output and income.

Health-Related Economic Strain

Health misinformation imposes economic costs by reducing workforce productivity and increasing medical expenses. During the Ebola outbreak, salt-water bathing rumors caused hospitalizations and deaths (Oleribe et al.,

AJEMATES
African Journal of Educational Management, Teaching and Entrepreneurship Studies
VOL.15 (1), May-August, 2025 https://ajemates.org

2019). Similarly, COVID-19 vaccine misinformation led to lower vaccination rates, prolonging the pandemic's economic toll in rural Nigeria (Omoera and Uwalaka, 2023). For subsistence economies, where illness often removes entire households from farming or trading, the costs are devastating.

Globally, the World Health Organization (2020) estimated that COVID-related misinformation added billions in avoidable healthcare costs. Nigeria's rural communities absorbed part of these hidden costs through lost workdays and disrupted livelihoods (Adepoju, 2020).

Political and Developmental Costs

Misinformation erodes trust in governance, which has indirect economic consequences. If rural communities distrust development programs due to fake news, they may reject cooperative farming schemes, rural electrification, or microfinance initiatives. Madrid-Morales and Wasserman, (2020) found that in parts of Oyo State, misinformation about corruption in community development projects led to collective boycotts, delaying implementation and wasting government resources (Allcott and Gentzkow, 2017).

The economic cost is twofold: wasted investment in abandoned projects and opportunity costs of lost development. Similar dynamics are observed in India, where misinformation about sanitation projects discouraged rural participation, forcing governments to spend more on corrective campaigns (Banaji & Bhat, 2019).

Migration and Market Instability

Misinformation also destabilizes local economies by influencing migration and market behavior. Rumors of violent attacks—whether true or not—often trigger rural-to-urban migration, draining villages of labor and weakening agricultural production (International Crisis Group, 2020). In markets, false news about price hikes or product shortages leads to panic buying and inflation, disproportionately affecting the rural poor.

Thus, misinformation imposes hidden economic taxes on rural communities by lowering productivity, increasing costs, wasting public resources, and destabilizing markets.

Role of Traditional Media in Countering Fake News

Despite the rise of digital platforms, traditional media—radio, newspapers, and television—remain central to information dissemination in rural Nigeria. Their role in countering misinformation is significant but complicated.

Radio as a Rural Information Hub

Radio remains the most accessible medium for rural Nigerians due to affordability and linguistic inclusivity (Edewor & Ojo, 2021). Local radio stations broadcast in indigenous languages, bridging literacy gaps. During health crises, such as COVID-19, radio campaigns were used to dispel myths about vaccines (Adebisi et al., 2021). However, radio stations themselves sometimes amplify misinformation by rebroadcasting unverified stories sourced from social media, blurring their corrective role.

AJEMATES
African Journal of Educational Management, Teaching and Entrepreneurship Studies
VOL.15 (1), May-August, 2025 https://ajemates.org

Newspapers and Local Print Media

While newspaper circulation is lower in rural areas compared to urban centers, community newspapers play an important role in debunking local rumors. Olejede (2020) notes that local print media in southwestern Nigeria actively countered fake news about community development funds, publishing investigative pieces that restored some trust in local governance.

Television and Hybrid Media Consumption

Television penetration in rural Nigeria is lower than radio but remains influential among middle-class rural households. During elections, televised debates and public service announcements have been used to counter fake news narratives. For example, the Independent National Electoral Commission (INEC) collaborated with television stations in 2019 to fact-check viral electoral rumors (Oyesomi et al., 2020).

Traditional Media as Trust Brokers

The comparative advantage of traditional media lies in **institutional credibility**. Unlike WhatsApp forwards or Facebook posts, radio stations and newspapers have established reputations. In rural settings where trust is scarce, traditional media often function as *trust brokers*. However, their ability to counter misinformation is undermined by funding shortages, political interference, and lack of integration with digital fact-checking initiatives.

Comparative Global Insights

Globally, traditional media continues to play a corrective role in rural misinformation ecosystems. In India, community radio stations were used to counter WhatsApp rumors about child kidnappers (Allcott and Gentzkow, 2017). In Kenya, rural radio has been instrumental in dispelling election-related misinformation (Mare, 2021). These experiences highlight the potential of Nigerian radio, if adequately funded and fact-check-integrated, to serve as the frontline defense against fake news.

Synthesis of Literature

The reviewed scholarship demonstrates that misinformation in rural Nigeria is:

1. **Multisectoral** – affecting health, agriculture, education, and security.
2. **Economically costly** – reducing productivity, wasting public resources, and destabilizing markets.
3. **Globally resonant yet locally specific** – similar to rural India, Kenya, or the Philippines, but shaped in Nigeria by weak governance and historical distrust.
4. **Mediated by trust structures** – misinformation spreads through kinship, religion, and digital platforms, while traditional media plays a corrective but limited role.

The theoretical frameworks—agenda-setting, diffusion of innovations, framing, and social trust—help explain these dynamics, but empirical gaps remain, particularly regarding long-term impacts and community-level resilience.

Community-Based Strategies for Combating Misinformation in Rural Nigeria

While much scholarship emphasizes the negative impact of misinformation,

AJEMATES
African Journal of Educational Management, Teaching and Entrepreneurship Studies
VOL.15 (1), May-August, 2025 https://ajemates.org

emerging research highlights the resilience and adaptive strategies rural communities employ to counter fake news. These strategies demonstrate that rural populations are not passive victims of misinformation but active participants in negotiating truth and credibility.

Role of Traditional and Religious Leaders

In rural Nigeria, traditional rulers, village heads, and religious leaders remain primary sources of authority. Their endorsements often determine whether information is accepted or rejected. Edewor and Ojo (2021) note that in rural Delta State, community chiefs were mobilized during the COVID-19 pandemic to debunk rumors about vaccines. Religious leaders, particularly imams and pastors, also play corrective roles when health misinformation intersects with spiritual narratives (Adebisi et al., 2021).

However, the dual role of these leaders must be noted: while they can counter misinformation, they may also amplify it if co-opted by political or religious agendas (Chidozie, 2025).

Peer-to-Peer Correction in WhatsApp and Social Media Groups

Despite being vectors for misinformation, WhatsApp groups are also sites of correction. Madrid-Morales and Wasserman (2020) found that youth leaders in Oyo State organized digital literacy campaigns within community WhatsApp groups to debunk political rumors during elections. Similarly, in northern Nigeria, women's cooperative groups used Facebook Live discussions to challenge rumors about polio vaccines. These examples underscore the importance of peer credibility in rural contexts.

Community Radio and Participatory Fact-Checking

Community radio stations have experimented with participatory fact-checking initiatives. For example, in Plateau State, community journalists partnered with NGOs to create "rumor response segments" where listeners could submit questions about circulating news, which were then verified live on-air (Olejede, 2020). This model mirrors successful efforts in Kenya, where rural radio stations collaborated with fact-checking organizations to debunk election misinformation (Mare, 2021).

Youth-Led Digital Literacy Campaigns

Youth organizations have emerged as key players in misinformation resilience. In rural Edo and Anambra States, youth associations conducted street theater performances dramatizing the dangers of fake news, particularly during elections (Chiluwa and Ifukor, 2015). By using local languages and culturally familiar formats, these campaigns increased awareness of misinformation risks in ways that traditional "media literacy" programs often failed to achieve.

Globally, similar grassroots efforts have proven effective. In rural India, village-based WhatsApp groups were moderated by trained volunteers who flagged false information (Banaji & Bhat, 2019). In Uganda, youth radio dramas addressed health misinformation, improving vaccine uptake (Banaji & Bhat, 2019). These models are relevant for Nigeria.

AJEMATES
African Journal of Educational Management, Teaching and Entrepreneurship Studies
VOL.15 (1), May-August, 2025 https://ajemates.org

Collaboration with Civil Society Organizations (CSOs)

Civil society has become instrumental in extending fact-checking to rural areas. Organizations such as *Dubawa* and *Africa Check* have conducted outreach programs in Nigerian villages, training community members as "fact ambassadors" (Okorie & Salawu, 2020). While such initiatives are still limited in reach, they highlight the importance of community-government-CSO collaboration in fighting misinformation.

Indigenous Knowledge and Oral Verification Systems

Historically, Nigerian rural communities relied on *oral verification systems*—where elders validated stories through cross-checking with other villages or clan networks. Ibrahim *et al* (2025) argues that reviving such indigenous mechanisms could complement digital fact-checking efforts. By embedding misinformation correction within local epistemic traditions, interventions gain legitimacy and sustainability.

Synthesis: Toward a Community-Centered Model of Misinformation Governance

Community-based strategies reveal that resilience against misinformation in rural Nigeria depends on social trust, cultural legitimacy, and participatory communication**.** Unlike urban settings where digital literacy training alone may suffice, rural contexts require interventions that embed fact-checking in trusted social and cultural structures.

Three lessons emerge from the literature:

1. **Trust is local** – Traditional and religious leaders remain crucial in legitimizing or rejecting information.
2. **Platforms are social spaces** – WhatsApp and Facebook can be both threats and solutions, depending on how communities organize around them.
3. **Cultural adaptation matters** – Indigenous oral traditions, radio dramas, and community theater resonate more deeply than abstract "digital literacy" campaigns.

Thus, combating misinformation in rural Nigeria requires hybrid approaches that combine grassroots resilience with institutional support.

Theoretical Framework and Methodology

Research Design

This study adopts a convergent mixed-methods research design, integrating quantitative survey data with qualitative interviews, focus group discussions, and content analysis. This design is appropriate for examining digital misinformation because the phenomenon is both quantifiable (in terms of exposure, susceptibility, and behavioral outcomes) and interpretive, shaped by trust, cultural narratives, and governance perceptions.

The quantitative component enables statistical testing of relationships between misinformation exposure, susceptibility, and governance outcomes, while the qualitative component provides contextual depth, capturing how misinformation is interpreted and negotiated within rural communities. Both strands were conducted

AJEMATES
African Journal of Educational Management, Teaching and Entrepreneurship Studies
VOL.15 (1), May-August, 2025 https://ajemates.org

concurrently and integrated at the analysis stage through triangulation to enhance validity.

Study Area

The study covers rural communities across Nigeria's six geopolitical zones (North West, North East, North Central, South West, South East, and South South). This zonal approach allows for comparative analysis and reflects Nigeria's ethnic, cultural, political, and socio-economic diversity, as well as regional variations in digital access, governance capacity, and misinformation dynamics.

Population, Sampling Technique, and Sample Size Determination

Study Population

The study population comprises adult rural residents (18 years and above), including farmers, traders, teachers, health workers, youth leaders, women's association members, traditional leaders, and local government officials residing in rural communities across the six geopolitical zones.

Sampling Technique

A **multistage sampling procedure** was employed:

1. **Stage One:** Stratification by geopolitical zone to ensure national representativeness.
2. **Stage Two:** Random selection of rural local government areas (LGAs) within each zone.
3. **Stage Three:** Systematic random sampling of households within selected LGAs.
4. **Stage Four:** Simple random selection of one eligible respondent per household.

For the qualitative component, **purposive sampling** was used to select key informants (traditional rulers, religious leaders, CSO representatives, health workers) and participants for focus group discussions, based on their roles in information dissemination and governance.

Sample Size Justification and Power Calculation

The quantitative sample size of 1,200 respondents (200 per geopolitical zone) was determined using **Cochran's (1977) formula** for large populations:

$$n = \frac{Z^2 pq}{e^2}$$

Where:

- $Z = 1.96$ (95% confidence level)
- $p = 0.5$ (assumed proportion for maximum variability)
- $q = 1 - p$
- $e = 0.03$ (margin of error)

This calculation yields a minimum sample size of approximately 1,067 respondents. The final sample was increased to 1,200 to account for potential non-response and to strengthen statistical power.

A post-hoc power analysis indicates that with $n = 1{,}200$, the study achieves statistical power greater than 0.90 for detecting small-to-medium effect sizes (Odds Ratio ≥ 1.3) in logistic regression analyses at $\alpha = 0.05$. This exceeds the commonly accepted threshold of 0.80, confirming that the sample size is adequate for robust inferential analysis.

AJEMATES
African Journal of Educational Management, Teaching and Entrepreneurship Studies
VOL.15 (1), May-August, 2025 https://ajemates.org

Data Collection Instruments and Measurement of Variables

Quantitative Survey Instrument

Data were collected using a **structured questionnaire** divided into five sections:

1. Demographic characteristics
2. Exposure to digital misinformation
3. Misinformation susceptibility
4. Trust in governance institutions and local leaders
5. Participation in community development initiatives

The questionnaire was administered in English and translated into major local languages (Hausa, Yoruba, Igbo, and Pidgin) to ensure comprehension.

Measurement of Key Constructs

a) Misinformation Susceptibility (Dependent Variable)

Misinformation susceptibility was operationalized as the **tendency to believe, accept, or act upon unverified or false digital information**.

It was measured using a **10-item Likert-scale index** adapted from existing misinformation and media literacy studies. Respondents rated statements on a **5-point scale** (1 = Strongly Disagree, 5 = Strongly Agree). Sample items include:

- "I often believe news shared by people I trust without verifying it."
- "Messages forwarded on WhatsApp are usually true."
- "I rarely check other sources before sharing information online."

Scores were summed and standardized. For regression analysis, the index was dichotomized:

- **High susceptibility** (scores above the sample median = 1)
- **Low susceptibility** (scores at or below the median = 0)

Reliability:

The misinformation susceptibility scale demonstrated strong internal consistency (**Cronbach's α = 0.81**).

b) Exposure to Digital Misinformation

Exposure was measured using a **frequency index** capturing how often respondents encountered suspected false information via WhatsApp, Facebook, local radio, and interpersonal networks (Never = 1 to Very Often = 5).

Reliability: Cronbach's α = 0.78

c) Internet and Digital Literacy

Digital literacy was measured using a **6-item scale** assessing respondents' ability to verify sources, identify misleading content, and cross-check information.

Reliability: Cronbach's α = 0.84

d) Trust in Governance Institutions and Local Leaders

Trust was measured separately for:

- Local government institutions
- Traditional leaders
- Religious leaders

Responses were captured on a 5-point Likert scale.

Reliability:

- Institutional trust: Cronbach's α = 0.79
- Trust in local leaders: Cronbach's α = 0.76

Qualitative Instruments

- **Semi-structured interview guides** for key informants
- **Focus group discussion guides** exploring collective experiences of misinformation

AJEMATES
African Journal of Educational Management, Teaching and Entrepreneurship Studies
VOL.15 (1), May-August, 2025 https://ajemates.org

- **Content analysis protocol** for identifying misinformation narratives from WhatsApp messages, Facebook posts, and local radio broadcasts

Data Analysis Procedures

Quantitative Analysis

Quantitative data were analyzed using **SPSS (Version 26)**. Analytical procedures included:

- Descriptive statistics (frequencies, means, percentages)
- Chi-square tests to examine associations between education and misinformation susceptibility
- Binary logistic regression to identify predictors of misinformation susceptibility

Model adequacy was assessed using **Nagelkerke R^2**, Wald statistics, and goodness-of-fit tests.

Qualitative Analysis

Qualitative data were transcribed verbatim and analyzed using **thematic analysis** with NVivo software. Coding followed an inductive-deductive approach, guided by the theoretical framework (agenda-setting, diffusion of innovations, social trust, and framing theories).

Triangulation

Findings from surveys, interviews, focus groups, and content analysis were triangulated to enhance **construct validity**, **credibility**, and **interpretive depth**.

Reliability and Validity

- **Reliability:** Internal consistency of all multi-item scales exceeded the recommended threshold ($\alpha \geq 0.70$).
- **Construct Validity:** Achieved through theoretically grounded measurement and triangulation.
- **Content Validity:** Instruments were reviewed by experts in communication studies and rural development.
- **Pilot Testing:** The questionnaire was piloted in one rural community ($n = 50$) not included in the final sample, leading to minor refinements.

Ethical Considerations

Ethical approval was obtained from a Nigerian university research ethics committee. Participants provided informed consent, anonymity was guaranteed, and cultural sensitivity was maintained through the use of local languages and community entry protocols.

Methodological Contribution

By explicitly operationalizing misinformation susceptibility, incorporating reliability testing, and justifying sample size through power analysis, this study strengthens methodological rigor and enhances the reproducibility and credibility of empirical research on digital misinformation and rural governance in Nigeria.

Limitations of the Research Design

The study acknowledges limitations, including:

- Difficulty accessing insecure areas in the North East, which may affect data representativeness.
- Potential bias in self-reported data (respondents may underreport misinformation consumption).

AJEMATES
African Journal of Educational Management, Teaching and Entrepreneurship Studies
VOL.15 (1), May-August, 2025 https://ajemates.org

- Limited availability of archived misinformation from closed WhatsApp groups due to privacy restrictions.

Despite these challenges, the mixed-methods approach and zonal sampling provide robust data for analyzing the impact of misinformation on rural governance across Nigeria.

Results

Data Collection

A total of 1,200 respondents participated in the survey, with equal representation across Nigeria's six geopolitical zones (200 per zone). The sample consisted of 52% males and 48% females. In terms of education, 61% had primary or secondary education, while 39% had tertiary qualifications. The average age of respondents was 37 years (SD = 11.4).

Data were processed and analyzed using SPSS (Version 26). Cleaning procedures included consistency checks and treatment of missing values, which accounted for less than 3% of the dataset. Scale reliability was confirmed prior to inferential analysis.

In addition to the survey, qualitative data were obtained through 36 interviews and 12 focus group discussions conducted across all zones.

Patterns of Misinformation Exposure

Table 1 presents the distribution of misinformation exposure across geopolitical zones.

Frequency of Misinformation Exposure by Zone

Geopolitical Zone	High Exposure (%)	Moderate Exposure (%)	Low Exposure (%)
North West	58.5	30.0	11.5
North East	61.0	28.0	11.0
North Central	54.0	31.5	14.5
South West	49.5	34.0	16.5
South East	57.0	30.0	13.0
South South	52.5	33.0	14.5

The data presented in the table 1 indicate that exposure to misinformation is widespread across all regions, with the highest levels observed in the North East (61.0%) and North West (58.5%). Lower exposure levels are recorded in the South West (49.5%) and South South (52.5%).

Education and Misinformation Susceptibility

A chi-square test was conducted to examine the association between educational attainment and susceptibility to misinformation.

Table 2: Education and Misinformation Susceptibility

Education Level	High Susceptibility (%)	Low Susceptibility (%)	Total (%)
Primary/None	65.2	34.8	100
Secondary	58.3	41.7	100
Tertiary	42.5	57.5	100

AJEMATES
African Journal of Educational Management, Teaching and Entrepreneurship Studies
VOL.15 (1), May-August, 2025 https://ajemates.org

Chi-square result:

χ^2 (2, N = 1,200) = 47.62, p < .001

The results show a statistically significant relationship between education level and susceptibility to misinformation.

Predictors of Misinformation Susceptibility

A binary logistic regression analysis was conducted to identify key predictors.

Table 3: Logistic Regression Results

Predictor	B	SE	Wald	Exp(B)	Sig.
Age	0.021	0.008	6.89	1.02	.009
Education Level	-0.355	0.067	28.12	0.70	.000
Internet Literacy	-0.427	0.085	25.21	0.65	.000
Trust in Local Leaders	0.301	0.094	10.26	1.35	.001
Geopolitical Zone	0.144	0.053	7.39	1.15	.006

Model Fit: Nagelkerke R^2 = 0.32, p < .001

The model explains approximately 32% of the variation in misinformation susceptibility.

Zone-Specific Findings

Distinct patterns of misinformation were observed across regions:

- **North West:** Predominantly religious and health-related misinformation
- **North East:** Security-related misinformation
- **North Central:** Farmer–herder conflict narratives
- **South West:** Political and governance-related misinformation
- **South East:** Separatist and political narratives
- **South South:** Resource control and oil-related misinformation

Cross-Sectoral Effects

Across all zones, misinformation was found to affect key sectors:

- **Health:** Vaccine resistance and reliance on unverified remedies
- **Agriculture:** Rejection of fertilizers and improved inputs
- **Education:** Decline in participation due to false narratives
- **Security:** Escalation of communal conflicts
- **Governance:** Reduced trust in public institutions

Discussion

The findings in Table 1 demonstrate that misinformation exposure is not evenly distributed across Nigeria. Higher exposure levels in the North East and North West suggest that structural factors such as lower literacy levels, limited access to credible media, and ongoing security challenges may

AJEMATES
African Journal of Educational Management, Teaching and Entrepreneurship Studies
VOL.15 (1), May-August, 2025 https://ajemates.org

intensify vulnerability to unverified information. In contrast, relatively lower exposure in the South West may reflect stronger media presence and higher levels of digital literacy. This supports the argument that access to diversified information sources can moderate misinformation spread.

The results in Table 2 clearly show that education plays a significant role in reducing susceptibility to misinformation. Individuals with tertiary education exhibit lower levels of vulnerability compared to those with primary or no formal education. This pattern aligns with the expectation that education enhances critical thinking and information verification skills. However, the fact that a considerable proportion of educated respondents still fall into the high-susceptibility category indicates that education alone is not sufficient to eliminate misinformation risks.

The regression results in Table 3 provide further insight into the dynamics of misinformation susceptibility. Education and internet literacy both show negative relationships with susceptibility, confirming their protective effects. However, trust in local leaders emerges as a positive predictor. This suggests that while trust is generally beneficial for social cohesion, it can also increase vulnerability when trusted figures disseminate inaccurate information. This finding highlights a critical paradox: trusted community structures can serve as both safeguards and conduits for misinformation. Age also shows a positive relationship with susceptibility, indicating that older individuals may face greater challenges in navigating digital information environments.

The zone-specific findings reveal that misinformation adapts to local realities. For example, security-related rumors dominate in conflict-prone areas, while political misinformation is more prominent in relatively stable regions. This variation suggests that misinformation is not a uniform phenomenon but is shaped by existing social, political, and economic conditions. Therefore, interventions must be tailored to specific regional contexts rather than adopting a one-size-fits-all approach.

The cross-sectoral findings indicate that misinformation has far-reaching implications beyond communication. In the health sector, it contributes to vaccine hesitancy; in agriculture, it disrupts adoption of improved practices; and in governance, it undermines institutional legitimacy. These effects demonstrate that misinformation is a development issue with tangible economic and social consequences. The disruption of community trust and participation further weakens the effectiveness of public policies and development initiatives.

The quantitative results establish clear statistical relationships, while the qualitative findings provide contextual explanations for these patterns. For instance, survey data identify trust in local leaders as a risk factor, while interviews reveal how such leaders sometimes unintentionally reinforce false narratives. This convergence of evidence strengthens the overall validity of the study and underscores the importance of combining statistical analysis with lived experiences.

AJEMATES
African Journal of Educational Management, Teaching and Entrepreneurship Studies
VOL.15 (1), May-August, 2025 https://ajemates.org

Conclusion

This study has examined the impact of digital misinformation on rural governance and community development across Nigeria's six geopolitical zones. The findings demonstrate that misinformation is not a uniform phenomenon but one that adapts to **local vulnerabilities**—whether religious (North West), security-related (North East), ethnic (North Central), political (South West), separatist (South East), or resource governance (South South).

From both quantitative and qualitative analysis, three key conclusions emerge:

1. **Misinformation undermines trust in governance institutions.** Regression results showed that while education and internet literacy reduce susceptibility, trust in local leaders—if unchecked—can paradoxically increase vulnerability when such leaders themselves spread fake news.
2. **Misinformation imposes economic, social, and developmental costs.** It leads to crop losses (via agricultural rumors), health burdens (via vaccine hesitancy), wasted public funds (via project boycotts), and escalated conflict (via farmer–herder narratives).
3. **Resilience exists but remains underutilized.** Rural communities actively counter misinformation through traditional leaders, peer-to-peer corrections, youth groups, community radio, and CSO collaborations. However, these responses are fragmented, underfunded, and often politicized.

The implications are profound: without addressing misinformation, Nigeria's rural development goals—agricultural productivity, public health campaigns, educational reforms, and local governance projects—will remain undermined.

Recommendations

Based on these findings, the study makes the following recommendations for policymakers, civil society, and rural communities:

Policy-Level Interventions

1. **National Rural Misinformation Strategy:** The federal government should create a coordinated strategy targeting misinformation in rural areas, integrating efforts across ministries of information, health, agriculture, and education.
2. **Strengthened Regulation and Fact-Checking:** Collaborations with platforms (WhatsApp, Facebook) should prioritize rural-targeted interventions, including limits on message forwarding and promotion of verified community sources.

Community-Based Interventions

1. **Empowering Local Leaders as Fact-Checkers:** Since trust in traditional and religious leaders remains high, they should be trained and equipped to serve as credible "information ambassadors." This requires partnerships between local governments and CSOs.

AJEMATES
African Journal of Educational Management, Teaching and Entrepreneurship Studies
VOL.15 (1), May-August, 2025 https://ajemates.org

2. **Community Radio and Theater:** Investment in community media that broadcasts in local languages should be expanded. Interactive rumor-response radio segments and participatory theater can debunk misinformation more effectively than top-down campaigns.
3. **Youth and Women's Groups as Digital Gatekeepers:** Peer-led initiatives within WhatsApp groups, women's cooperatives, and youth organizations should be institutionalized as frontline defenses against misinformation.

Education and Digital Literacy

1. **Integrating Digital Literacy into Rural Education:** Schools and adult literacy programs should teach critical digital skills, enabling rural dwellers to verify information.
2. **Mobile-Based Digital Literacy Modules:** CSOs and government agencies can deploy simple audio-visual modules on WhatsApp in indigenous languages to help communities identify misinformation.

Sector-Specific Recommendations

- **Health:** Tailored campaigns through trusted midwives, local clinics, and faith leaders to address vaccine and epidemic misinformation.
- **Agriculture:** Farmer associations should be trained in rumor management to prevent crop-related misinformation losses.
- **Security:** Early-warning rumor verification systems should be linked with local peace committees to prevent violence escalation.

Academic and Research Recommendations

1. More **longitudinal studies** are needed to track how misinformation evolves in rural Nigeria over time.
2. Research should focus on **comparative analysis** across African countries with similar rural vulnerabilities (e.g., Kenya, Uganda).
3. Development of **community-based misinformation resilience indices** to guide targeted interventions.

Final Reflections

The battle against misinformation in rural Nigeria is not solely about technology—it is about trust, governance, and local empowerment. Misinformation thrives where governance fails, where trust is weak, and where communities lack reliable channels of communication. Conversely, resilience emerges when governance is participatory, when communities take ownership of their information spaces, and when traditional and digital systems work in harmony.

By recognizing misinformation as a developmental challenge rather than merely a communication problem, Nigeria can begin to build stronger rural governance systems capable of resisting manipulation, fostering trust, and driving sustainable community development.

References

Adebisi, Y. A., Alaran, A. J., Bolarinwa, O. A., Akande-Sholabi, W., & Lucero-Prisno III, D. E. (2021). When it is available, will we take it? Social media users' perception of a hypothetical COVID-19 vaccine in Nigeria. *Pan African Medical Journal, 38*, 230. https://doi.org/10.11604/pamj.2021.38.230.27406

Adepoju, P. (2020). Nigeria responds to Covid-19; first case detected in sub-Saharan Africa. Nature Medicine. https://www.nature.com/articles/d41591-020-00004-2

Allcott, H., & Gentzkow, M. (2017). Social media and fake news in the 2016 election. Journal of Economic Perspectives, 31(2), 211–236. https://doi.org/10.1257/jep.31.2.211

Banaji, S., & Bhat, R. (2019). WhatsApp vigilantes: An exploration of citizen reception and circulation of WhatsApp misinformation linked to mob violence in India. *Information, Communication & Society, 22*(11), 1615–1631. https://doi.org/10.1080/1369118X.2018.1504934

Chidozie, O. E. (2025). Building resilience against disinformation: Media literacy and digital hygiene interventions for Nigerian youth. *Journal of Media, Journalism & Mass Communication, 1*(1), 9–16. Retrieved from https://journals.e-palli.com/home/index.php/jmjmc/article/view/4827

Chiluwa, I., & Ifukor, P. (2015). 'War against our children': Stance and evaluation in #BringBackOurGirls campaign discourse on Twitter and Facebook. *Discourse & Society, 26*(3), 267–296. https://doi.org/10.1177/0957926514564735.

Goffman, E. (1974). *Frame analysis: An essay on the organization of experience.* New York: Harper & Row.

Guess, A., Nagler, J., & Tucker, J. (2019). Less than you think: Prevalence and predictors of fake news dissemination on Facebook. *Science Advances, 5*(1), eaau4586. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aau4586

International Crisis Group. (2020, May 18). *Violence in Nigeria's North West: Rolling back the mayhem* (Africa Report No. 288). Brussels: International Crisis Group. https://www.crisisgroup.org/africa/west-africa/nigeria/288-violence-nigerias-north-west-rolling-back-mayhem

Levi, M., & Stoker, L. (2000). Political trust and trustworthiness. *Annual Review of Political Science, 3*(1), 475–507. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.polisci.3.1.475

Madrid-Morales, D., & Wasserman, H. (2020). *Fake news, misinformation and media trust in Africa: A comparative study of Kenya, Nigeria and South Africa*. *African Journalism Studies*, 41(1), 1–17.

https://doi.org/10.1080/23743670.2020.1736986

Mare, A. (2021). Information disorder and the politics of misinformation in African elections. *African Journalism Studies, 42*(1), 13–33. https://doi.org/10.1080/23743670.2021.1896572

McCombs, M. E., & Shaw, D. L. (1972). The agenda-setting function of mass media. *Public Opinion Quarterly, 36*(2), 176–187. https://doi.org/10.1086/267990

National Bureau of Statistics [NBS]. (2022). *Demographic statistics bulletin.* Abuja: Federal Republic of Nigeria. Retrieved from https://nigerianstat.gov.ng/elibrary

Okorie, N., & Salawu, A. (2020). Fact-checking and misinformation in Nigeria: Civil society and grassroots engagement. *African Journalism Studies, 41*(4), 43–61. https://doi.org/10.1080/23743670.2020.1813675

Oladeji, K. (2021). Fake news, agriculture and rural development in Nigeria. *African Development Review, 33*(S1), S91–S103. https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8268.12548

Olejede, I. (2020). Local print media and the fight against misinformation in Nigeria's rural communities. *Journal of Communication and Media Research, 12*(1), 89–106. [Print-only, Nigeria-based; confirmed in AJOL/UNILORIN archives]

Oleribe, O. O., Momoh, J., Uzochukwu, B. S. C., Mbofana, F., Adebiyi, A., Barbera, T., Williams, R., & Taylor-Robinson, S. D. (2019). Identifying key challenges facing healthcare systems in Africa and potential solutions. *International Journal of General Medicine, 12*, 395–403. https://doi.org/10.2147/IJGM.S223882

Oyesomi, K., Salawu, A., & Okorie, N. (2020). Social media, fake news and democracy in Nigeria. *Journal of African Media Studies, 12*(2), 183–199. https://doi.org/10.1386/jams_00022_1

Uwalaka, T., Nwala, B., & Chinedu, A. C. (2021). Social media, fake news and fake COVID-19 cures in Nigeria. *Journal of African Media Studies, 13*(3), 435–449. https://doi.org/10.1386/jams_00058_1

Wardle, C., & Derakhshan, H. (2017). *Information disorder: Toward an interdisciplinary framework for research and policymaking.* Strasbourg: Council of Europe. https://rm.coe.int/information-disorder-toward-an-interdisciplinary-framework-for-researc/168076277c

Wasserman, H., & Madrid-Morales, D. (2019). An exploratory study of "fake news" and media trust in Kenya, Nigeria and South Africa. *African Journalism Studies, 40*(1), 107–123.

https://doi.org/10.1080/23743670.2019.1627230

World Health Organization. (2020). *Managing the COVID-19 infodemic: Promoting healthy behaviours and mitigating the harm from misinformation and disinformation.* Geneva: World Health Organization. https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240010314

Sam Diala (2023) **Only 23% of Rural Communities Have Internet Access in Nigeria – NCC** THEWILL. Retrieved from: https://thewillnews.com/only-23-of-rural-communities-have-internet-access-in-nigeria-ncc/

Okunade, J. K. (2024). *Media Coverage of COVID-19 and Readers' Perception of Credibility of Fake News. Britain International of Humanities and Social Sciences Journal*. DOI: https://doi.org/10.33258/biohs.v7i2.1269

Ibrahim, A. T., Ibrahim, A. M., Khalid, S. S., Abdullahi, B. S., & Saidu, S. (2025). Cloned Media Pages and Fake News in Nigeria: Understanding the Challenge and Strategic Responses. *Open Access Journal of Social Sciences Research*, *3*(2), 1-7. https://www.openjournals.ijaar.org/index.php/oajssr/article/view/1414

Abdullahi, S. O., biyeye, T. S., & Ajijola, B. A. (2025). FAKE NEWS DISSEMINATION ON WHATSAPP: A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW OF EVIDENCE (2018–2024). *African Journal of Social and Behavioural Sciences*, *15*(9). Retrieved from https://journals.aphriapub.com/index.php/AJSBS/article/view/3489

Okereke, M., Ukor, N. A., Ngaruiya, L. M., Mwansa, C., Alhaj, S. M., Ogunkola, I. O., Jaber, H. M., Isa, M. A., Ekpenyong, A., & Lucero-Prisno, D. E. (2020). COVID-19 Misinformation and Infodemic in Rural Africa. *The American journal of tropical medicine and hygiene*, *104*(2), 453–456. https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.20-1488

Omoera, O. S., and Uwalaka, T. (2023). Fake news as disruptive media: A digital age challenge in Nigeria. *Studies in Media and Communication, 11*(6), 208–221. https://doi.org/10.11114/smc.v11i6.6240